UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/872,164	05/31/2001	Daniel Fishman	2857/106	8770
	7590 09/17/200 & SUNSTEIN LLP		EXAMINER	
125 SUMMER	STREET		MIRZA, ADNAN M	
BOSTON, MA 02110-1618			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2145	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/872,164	FISHMAN ET AL	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		ADNAN M. MIRZA	2145	
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	ommunication appe	ears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence a	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of - If NO period for reply is specified above, the ma - Failure to reply within the set or extended perio Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1	THE MAILING DA provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 this communication. Eximum statutory period will for reply will, by statute, a months after the mailing	TE OF THIS COMMIG 6(a). In no event, however, m Ill apply and will expire SIX (6) cause the application to becor	JNICATION. ay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
 Responsive to communicatio This action is FINAL. Since this application is in coclosed in accordance with the 	2b)⊠ This ndition for allowan	action is non-final. ce except for formal r	•	ne merits is
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected. 8) Claim(s) are subject to	is/are withdraw			
	– .			
9) The specification is objected to 10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request that a Replacement drawing sheet(s) in 11) The oath or declaration is objective.	is/are: a) acce ny objection to the d noluding the correction	pted or b) objected Irawing(s) be held in abo on is required if the draw	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	ne of: priority documents priority documents copies of the priori ernational Bureau	have been received. have been received ty documents have b (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this Nationa	ıl Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Paper 5) D Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application	

Art Unit: 2145

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Conmy (US

2001/0014867) and further in view of Henneuse et al (U.S. 5,963,913).

As per claims 10,1,14 Conmy disclosed a system for scheduling an event over a network in a

calendar of an invitee (Page. 1, Paragraph. 0030), the event having a set of details provided by an

event creator including at least a predetermined time for the event (Page. 1, Paragraph. 0011), the

system comprising: that when selected by the invitee creates a schedule request including at least

the predetermined time for the event and an identifier for the event creator (Conmy, Paragraph.

0067 & 0077), the schedule request directing the event to the invitee's calendar; at least on

server, in communication with the network, to receive the schedule request and store the event in

the invitee's calendar and in an event record that includes a second link to the invitee's calendar;

and at least on database, in communication with server, to store the event record (Conmy,

Paragraph. 0026,0030,0064-0067,0083).

However Conmy did not disclose in detail, "a first link, inserted in a web page associated with

the event creator and including the event".

Art Unit: 2145

In the same field of endeavor Henneuse disclosed the server application then receives an event confirmation submitted by the scheduler using a client application to access the event confirmation page. IN response, the server application then creates and sends a message to each available participant to provide the schedule for the event. In one implementation, the event definition page, event reply page and event confirmation page are web pages on an Internet (col. 1, lines 63-67 & col. 2, lines 1-3).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have incorporated the server application then receives an event confirmation submitted by the scheduler using a client application to access the event confirmation page. IN response, the server application then creates and sends a message to each available participant to provide the schedule for the event. In one implementation, the event definition page, event reply page and event confirmation page are web pages on an Interne as taught by Henneuse in the method of Conmy to make the user scheduling system more user friendly while being productive and make it more versatile.

3. As per claims 2,12,16 Conmy-Henneuse disclosed further including; creating a link from the event record to the event creator's calendar; and adding the event to the event creator's calendar (Conmy, Page. 1, Paragraph. 0010).

Art Unit: 2145

4. As per claims 3,13 Conmy-Henneuse disclosed wherein the network is the Internet (Henneuse, col. 2, lines 1-3).

- 6. As per claim 4 Conmy-Henneuse disclosed wherein the invitee's calendar, the event creator's calendar and the event record are stored in a database in communication with the server (Conmy, Page. 1, Paragraph 0013).
- 7. As per claims 5,11,15 Conmy-Henneuse disclosed wherein the schedule request is a hypertext transfer protocol request (Henneuse, col. 3, lines 1-8).
- 8. As per claim 6 Conmy-Henneuse disclosed wherein the invitee's calendar is part of a personal information management system (Conmy, Page 1, Paragraph. 0013).
- 9. As per claims 7,17 Conmy-Henneuse disclosed wherein the event creator changes at least one member of the set of details for the event, the method further including: updating the event record with the changed set of details; and updating the event in the invitee's calendar with the changed set of details using the link between the event record and the invitee's calendar (Conmy, Page 1, Paragraph. 0013).
- 10. As per claims 8,18 Conmy-Henneuse disclosed further including sending a notification message to the invitee including the changed set of details (Conmy, Page. 1, Paragraph. 0010).

Art Unit: 2145

11. As per claim 9 Conmy-Henneuse disclosed wherein the schedule is created using a link

associated with the event (Henneuse, col. 1, lines 63-67 & col. 2, lines 1-3).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 08/01/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Response to applicant's arguments is as follows.

A. Applicant argued that prior art did not disclose, information about a predetermined time

for the event".

As to applicant's argument CONMY disclosed, "The calendaring system gathers the profiles for

each of the one or more requested invitees and determines whether each of the invitees is

available during the requested time interval Page. 1, Paragraph. 0013). One ordinary skill in the

art at time of the invention knows that determining the invitees scheduled time is interpreted as

information about predetermined time.

Art Unit: 2145

B. Applicant argued that office action does not point out any word or phrase in any of these

claims that is, or causes the claims to be indefinite, nor does the office action identify any other

grounds on which the claims may be considered.

As to applicants argument Examiner disclosed that computer failed to define computer readable

medium within the specs and the word tangible computer is being indefinite therefore the claims

stand rejected under 112 rejection.

C. Applicant argued that prior art did not disclose, "the creation of an event recorder and the

creation of a link between the event recorder and the calendar of the invitee".

As to applicant's argument Henneuse disclosed, "In one embodiment, the server application

creates the event confirmation page such that it has restricted access to allow access only to the

initiating scheduler. In the Internet implementation, server application provides both the event

reply page and the event confirmation page as web pages to which the scheduler and the

requested participants have access using respective client applications 12 and 14. In step 24

server application 16 creates and sends an electronic mail message to each requested participant

where each message includes a link to the event reply page (col. 3, lines 38-48).

D. Applicant argued that Conmy did not disclose, "in which an invitee interacts with a web

page to scheduled an event in the invitee's calendar".

Application/Control Number: 09/872,164

Art Unit: 2145

As to applicant's argument Conmy disclosed, "According to one embodiment of the present

invention, profiles 202 and calendar files 210 may be stored in an electronic mail file associated

Page 7

with each user. Collectively, the profiles 202 and the calendar files 210 comprise availability

information for a particular user. The electronic mail file may then be stored on one or more of

servers associated with the particular user (Page. 2, Paragraph 0031).

E. Applicant argued that Henneuse did not disclose that each participant to an event has a

calendar.

As to applicant's argument, the claim language didn't disclose every participant has a calendar,

on the other hand Henneuse did disclose in general, the event reply page includes a display of

one or more options defined by the scheduler as well as other event information defined by the

scheduler (col. 3, lines 29-33).

D. Applicant argued that Henneuse did not disclose the use of calendars of either an invitee

or inviter.

As to applicants argument Henneuse disclosed the requested participants can use the link to the

event reply page and client applications can use the link to the event reply page and client

applications to access and view the event reply page (col. 3, lines 59-63).

Art Unit: 2145

Conclusion

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Adnan Mirza whose telephone number is (571)-272-3885.

15. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday during normal business hours. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Cardone can be reached on (571)-272-3933. The fax for this group is (703)-746-7239. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2145

16. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for un published

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866)-217-9197 (toll-free).

/A. M. M./

Examiner, Art Unit 2145

/Jason D Cardone/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2145